```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                     NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
 2
                           EASTERN DIVISION
 3
       COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING
       COMMISSION,
 4
                                     §
            Plaintiff
                                     §
 5
                                     §
                                        CASE NO. 1-22-CV-02465
       v.
                                     S
 6
                                       HON. Mary M. Rowland
       SAM IKKURTY A/K/A SREENIV
 7
       AS I RAO, RAVISHANKAR
                                     §
                                       Magistrate Judge Jeffrey
       AVADHANAM AND JAFIA LLC,
                                       Cummings
                                     §
 8
            Defendants
 9
       IKKURTY CAPITAL, LLC D/B/A
10
       ROSE CITY INCOME FUND, ROSE
       CITY INCOME FUND II LLP,
11
       AND SENECA VENTURES, LLC,
            Relief Defendants.
12
                                     §
13
14
                     ORAL AND VIDEO DEPOSITION OF
15
                      HEATHER DASSO, INDIVIDUALLY
                           (Reported Remotely)
16
                             Volume 1 of 1
                             May 19, 2023
                         _____
17
18
                  ORAL AND VIDEO DEPOSITION OF HEATHER DASSO,
19
        Individually, produced as a witness at the instance of
20
        DEFENDANT SAM IKKURTY, and duly sworn, was taken in
21
        the above-styled and numbered cause on May 19, 2023,
22
        from 8:42 a.m. to 11:07 a.m., before Gloria Carlin,
        CSR No. 498, in and for the State of Texas, reported
23
        by stenographic method, Via Zoom, at the location of
24
        the witness in Chicago, Illinois, pursuant to the
25
                                                        Page 1
```

1	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any provisions
2	stated on the record.
3 4	Job No. 5908375
5	JOD NO. 5908375
6	APPEARANCES
7	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
8	Candy Haan, Esq. (VIA ZOOM)
U	Doug Snodgrass, Esq. (VIA ZOOM)
9	COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION
	77 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 800
10	Chicago, Illinois 60604
	312.596.0070
11	chaan@cftc.gov
	dsnodgrass@cftc.gov
12	
	FOR THE DEFENDANTS SAM IKKURTY, JAFIA LLC AND RELIEF
13	DEFENDANTS:
14	Daniel Jackson, Esq. (VIA ZOOM)
	NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
15	111 W. Houston Street, Suite 1800
	San Antonio, Texas 78205
16	210.270.7134
	daniel.jackson@nortonrosefulbright.com
17	
	FOR THE RECEIVER:
18	
1.0	Daryl Schumacher, Esq. (VIA ZOOM)
19	KOPECKY SCHUMACHER ROSENBURG LLC
20	120 North LaSalle Street, Suite 2000
20	Chicago, Illinois 60602 312.380.6556
21	dschumacher@ksrlaw.com
22	ALSO PRESENT:
23	Sam Ikkurty (VIA ZOOM)
23	Jody Platt (VIA ZOOM)
24	Jose Olazabal (VIA ZOOM)
25	Steve Cummings, Videographer (VIA ZOOM)
	Page 2

1	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
	300 Throckmorton Street
2	Suite 1600
	Fort Worth, Texas 76102
3	800.336.4000
	krichmond@veritext.com
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 3

1 MR. JACKSON: Does our videographer 2 prefer to do that? 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I don't have a copy of the exhibits, so I'm not able to do it on my end. 4 5 MR. JACKSON: Okay. Let me see if I 6 can do that. I'm not the most tech savvy Zoom user, 7 so give me a second here. 8 MS. HAAN: Take your time. 9 Q. (BY MR. JACKSON) Ms. Dasso, can you see the 10 document that I've shared here? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Excellent. And I know you're just looking Ο. 13 at the first page, but as I flip through here, does 14 this look like the Declaration that you submitted in connection with that application for appointment of a 15 16 receiver? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Ms. Dasso, your Declaration doesn't contain 0. 19 any mention of the term "Ponzi scheme," does it? 20 Α. No. Indeed, your Declaration doesn't even say 21 Ο. 22 that the transfers that are identified in it were 23 improper, does it? 24 It's just a factual Declaration of the 25 banking analysis. It does not -- it just states money Page 14

1 in, money out, where it came from. (BY MR. JACKSON) So it doesn't state that 2 Q. assets were misappropriated by the defendants, does it? 4 Α. No. 6 And it doesn't say that the defendants committed fraud, does it? 7 Α. 8 No. 9 And it doesn't say that the defendants were Q. 10 required to register in any capacity with the CFTC, 11 does it? 12 It just states that they were not Α. 13 registered. 14 Do you intend to provide expert testimony in this case on the subject of Ponzi schemes? 15 16 Objection, calls for a legal MS. HAAN: 17 conclusion, as well as the disclosure of information 18 covered by the work product doctrine. I would 19 instruct the witness not to answer that question. 20 THE WITNESS: I'll follow my attorney's 21 advice, but I would like to say, I -- in my capacity 22 as an investigator, I do not testify as an expert. I 23 am not declared as an expert in this case, and I will be a fact witness at trial, if called. 24 25 (BY MR. JACKSON) Do you intend to provide Q. Page 15

1 Α. Yes, I'm aware of the assets that we froze in three digital wallets that were held by Mr. 2 3 Ikkurty, and the receiver now has custody of those assets, yes, I'm familiar with that. 4 Tell me about your prior experience investigating crypto wallets; what did that involve? 6 Looking at the blockchain, looking at the Α. 8 transaction, trying to figure out where the money 9 went, where the money came from, just the basic crypto 10 blockchain work. 11 Was there ever a situation, Ms. Dasso, in 12 which the -- the blockchain was inaccurate, as you 13 found it? 14 Α. The blockchain is the blockchain. I don't know whether each thing is -- is accurate or 15 16 inaccurate, but I've been able to go to the 17 blockchain, identify transaction-by-transaction, ID a 18 transaction cash and look into those transactions. 19 Ο. Has there ever been a situation in your investigation of crypto assets where you found 20 separate evidence contradicted the blockchain? 21 22 Α. I don't understand the question. 2.3 Ο. Has there ever been a situation, in your experience, Ms. Dasso, in which the transaction 24 25 history you found on the blockchain was contradicted

1 that you had not -- you were not aware of; is that 2 right? 3 That's correct. We found -- like we -- we weren't aware of Mr. Ikkurty's personal accounts. 4 5 That information we can't get via subpoena unless we 6 go through the RFK process. So those accounts we weren't -- I will say that the bank records show that 8 is it 44 million, from the press release, came into 9 the accounts, and only -- less than \$10 million of 10 that went to a crypto exchange that could have been 11 used then to buy crypto assets, and so that's much, 12 you know, that's one fourth of the money that actually 13 went to a crypto exchange, which was not in line with 14 Mr. Ikkurty's promotional materials that 70 percent of the assets were going to be invested in cryptocurrency 15 16 That money just sat in the bank account. 17 So based on your investigation leading up to Ο. 18 the Declaration, you confirmed that assets were 19 actually invested by Rose City Income Fund II in the 20 crypto markets; is that right? 21 MS. HAAN: Objection, vague, ambiguous. 22 You may answer. 2.3 Α. As far as confirming that there were -- did

Q. (BY MR. JACKSON) Yes.

you say assets invested in crypto?

24

25

2.3

- A. Did not confirm any of that. What we can -what we can see from the bank records is that -- and
 if we want to pull my Declaration, I can give you
 specifics, but I think it was a little over \$9 million
 was transferred to ZebPay, via Genie Technology, which
 is the crypto exchange. As far as what happened at
 ZebPay, I did not have any vision into that at the
 time I filed my Declaration, only that a limited
 number of funds went there.
- Q. And you didn't review the blockchain prior to submitting your Declaration, either, did you?
- A. So I'm sure I reviewed the blockchain prior to my Declaration, in some capacity for other cases.

 As far as this case, I did not look at the blockchain, correct.
- Q. I want to pull the Complaint back up for us here. And I want to look at paragraph 1 of the Complaint. Paragraph 1 of the Complaint reads,

 "Between at least January 2021 and the present
 ("relevant period"), Defendants Sam Ikkurty a/k/a
 Sreenivas Rao, Ravishankar Avadhanam and Jafia LLC,
 ("collectively the defendants") fraudulently solicited and accepted over \$44 million from at least 170
 members of the general public."

And the paragraph continues. But did I

2.3

do a lot more analysis on this, is that at the end of September -- I'm sorry, at the end of April 2022, the account statements from the Rose City Income Fund II showed net asset value owed to participants of 17.8 million.

And I have seen carbon offset bonds, backed by Jafia, that are over 20 million, and so that totaled up as 37 million, that I know are obligations to investors as of the date we froze assets, and we only froze 25 million or so.

And so right there the damages, by doing the simple math, I would say right now we have the damages, that difference between that. And I know this, in this proceeding, I know there's other obligations to the people that invested in different products with Mr. Ikkurty, but right now I think that I'm aware of 37 million outstanding to participants, and I know that there's only -- that we only froze 25 million.

- Q. (BY MR. JACKSON) Let me kind of break that down a little bit, Ms. Dasso. The carbon offset bonds, what are those, in your understanding?
- A. Would you mind putting the exhibit off the screen?
 - Q. Sure, absolutely.

2.3

years, they would get their initial capital back.

They had the check already post-dated to turn that in.

And so they're owed their initial investment in the offset bonds, but that is not including these 18 percent interest payments that they were promised, but the obligation of those -- those carbon offset bond holders are over \$20 million.

- Q. (BY MR. JACKSON) Has any investor complained that they were not able to cash a check in connection with the carbon offset bond?
- A. There was one investor we spoke to that was not able to cash the check after we had filed. The check was invalid, so it must have been a May 2022 check, but otherwise, no, customers were getting their monthly dividends and their monthly returns, as promised.
- Q. And just to confirm, that one investor was not able to cash his check after the institution of this case; is that correct?
- A. After the assets were frozen, he tried to cash the check and, obviously, it was bounced because the account was frozen.
- Q. So from your review, Jafia made every scheduled payment on carbon offset bonds that it was obligated to do?

1 Α. So I haven't done a deep dive into the carbon offset bonds and this cash account, bank 2 analysis for those, but I can tell you that no 4 customer has ever told me that they did not receive their promised dividend payment for their investments 6 with Mr. Ikkurty. And just to clarify, the 20 million is the Q. total amount owed under bonds over time; is that 8 9 correct? 10 It's the total amount that's owed for the bond when the -- when the note ends. 11 12 When the note ends? Ο. 13 Yeah, so the customer gets their -- their 14 initial investment back. That's the last check that they were supposed to cash after they cashed their 36 15 checks that had their 18 percent return of their 16 initial investment. 17 18 Okay. So is it your understanding that Ο. certain checks under the carbon offset bond scheme are 19 predated, meaning they contain future dates at which 20 investors would then go cash a check for their 21 22 principal and interest in them; is that correct? 2.3 I think the term is post-dated, but, correct, at the time --24 25 Q. Okay.

1 I think you mentioned that the 44 million includes the carbon offset bond piece and then 2 something else; is that right? So the \$44 million is what is in the 4 Α. 5 Declaration, I'm sorry, the Complaint that we just looked at as far as money of the participants at the 6 7 time the Declaration was filed. As far as what Rose City Income Fund II 8 9 victims believe they're due is their ending asset 10 value from their last payment that they received from 11 Intertrust Group, dated April 30th. And if you total 12 all of the April 30th account payments for the Fund II 13 participants, it's something like -- it's a little 14 over 17 million. I don't have the exact figure. Okay. So that's what it was, it was the 37 15 16 million total, consisting of 20 million in carbon 17 offset bonds and 17 million of asset value. Is that 18 fair? Yes, so the value of the victims in Fund II, 19 the value of their investment in Fund II, and then 20 other obligations for specific victims of Mr. 21 22 Ikkurty's other products offered. 23 I know that there's obligations due to customers of \$37 million, but if a customer wanted to 24 25 come in and they're going through the phase process, Page 48

1 in the agreement." And then there's a later citation to your Declaration. 2 Did I read that correctly? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 Your Declaration doesn't actually say that Ο. 6 defendants paid themselves in excess of the two percent management fee, does it? 8 Α. Does not. 9 The following section, C, titled "The Q. 10 Misappropriation," I want to highlight the second sentence, which reads, "As detailed below, defendants 11 12 misappropriated participant funds because instead of 13 investing them as a pool and providing participants 14 with profits from their investments, defendants distributed participant funds to, 1, other 15 16 participants in the manner of a Ponzi scheme; two, 17 entities owned and controlled by defendants; and, 3, 18 to an offshore entity that is associated with a crypto exchange that does not allow US persons to transact." 19 20 Your Declaration doesn't actually say that defendants distributed funds in the manner of a 21 22 Ponzi scheme, does it? 2.3 Α. No, it does not. 24 In reviewing the bank account activity in 25 advance of submitting your Declaration, how were you Page 67

1 able to determine which transfers to defendants were for management fees? 2 3 I was not able to determine that at all. Α. 4 just totaled the amounts that were transferred to defendants. There was no determination as to what those transfers were for, just the amounts. 6 And, likewise, you were not able to tell Q. which transfers into Jafia's bank account were for 8 9 performance fees; is that right? 10 Α. That's correct. And you had no idea, from your review of 11 12 those bank statements, how much Mr. Ikkurty and Jafia 13 LLC held in Rose City Income Fund II, themselves, as 14 investors; is that right? That's correct. 15 Α. I'm going to apologize for the document 16 17 whiplash, but I'm going to put the Declaration back in 18 front of you, Ms. Dasso. This is Exhibit 4, and I'm looking at paragraph 5 here, which looks like it 19 contains sort of a brief description of what you 20 looked at in preparing the Declaration. 21 22 Yes, I see that. Α. 2.3 0. Paragraph 5 says that you completed various

Page 68

Internet and computer database searches.

describe to me what those searches entailed?

24

25

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION
3	COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING §
J	COMMISSION, §
4	5
	Plaintiff §
5	§
	v. § CASE NO. 1-22-CV-02465
6	§
	SAM IKKURTY A/K/A SREENIV § HON. Mary M. Rowland
7	AS I RAO, RAVISHANKAR § Magistrate Judge Jeffrey
	AVADHANAM AND JAFIA LLC, § Cummings
8	§
	Defendants §
9	§
1.0	IKKURTY CAPITAL, LLC D/B/A §
10	ROSE CITY INCOME FUND, ROSE §
11	CITY INCOME FUND II LLP, §
тт	AND SENECA VENTURES, LLC, §
12	Relief Defendants. §
	S S
13	
14	
15	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
	DEPOSITION OF HEATHER DASSO, INDIVIDUALLY
16	(Reported Remotely)
	Volume 1 of 1
17	May 19, 2023
18	
19 20	I Claria Carlin Cortified Charthand Departor in
21	I, Gloria Carlin, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the
22	following:
23	That the witness, HEATHER DASSO, was duly sworn
24	by the officer and that the transcript of the oral
25	deposition is a true record of the testimony given by
	Page 94

[
1	the witness;
2	I further certify that pursuant to FRCP Rule
3	30(e)(1) that the signature of the deponent was
4	requested by the deponent or a party before the
5	completion of the deposition; that the deposition
6	transcript was submitted on to the
7	witness or to the attorney for witness for
8	examination, signature and return to Veritext Legal
9	Solutions by;
10	That the amount of time used by each party at the
11	deposition is as follows:
12	Candy Haan - 00:04:54
	Daniel Jackson - 01:53:45
13	Daryl Schumacher - 00:00:00;
14	That pursuant to information given to the
15	deposition officer at the time said testimony was
16	taken, the following includes all parties of record:
17	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
18	Candy Haan, Esq.
	Doug Snodgrass, Esq.
19	COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION
	77 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 800
20	Chicago, Illinois 60604
	312.596.0070
21	chaan@cftc.gov
	dsnodgrass@cftc.gov
22	
	FOR THE DEFENDANTS SAM IKKURTY, JAFIA LLC AND RELIEF
23	DEFENDANTS:
24	Daniel Jackson, Esq.
	NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
25	111 W. Houston Street, Suite 1800
	Page 95

1	San Antonio, Texas 78205 210.270.7134
2	daniel.jackson@nortonrosefulbright.com
3	FOR THE RECEIVER:
4	Daryl Schumacher, Esq.
	KOPECKY SCHUMACHER ROSENBURG LLC
5	120 North LaSalle Street, Suite 2000
	Chicago, Illinois 60602
6	312.380.6556
	dschumacher@ksrlaw.com
7	
8	I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
9	related to, nor employed by any of the parties or
10	attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was
11	taken, and further that I am not financially or
12	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
13	Certified to by me May 23, 2023.
14	
15	Gloria Carlin
16	/O C0 0 0 0 0
17	Gloria Carlin, CSR No. 498
1 /	
18	Expiration Date: 04/30/25 VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
10	Veritext Registration No. 571
19	300 Throckmorton Street
	Suite 1600
20	Fort Worth, Texas 76102
20	(817) 336-3042 (800) 336-4000
21	Job No. 5908375
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 96